



**SSDT Meeting  
Teleconference 23<sup>rd</sup> March, 2017 1000 CET**

NAME	PARTNER
Thomas Jensen (TJ)	INTOMICS
Cnop (MC)	ULB
Gloyn (AG)	UOXF
Renström (ER)	ULUND
Torrents (DT)	BSC
Stabile-Barnett (CSB)	A2F
<b>APOLOGIES</b>	
Ferrer (JF)	IDIBAPS

**Text in red represents actions arising from the meeting**

**1. Membership and remit of the DEB**

TJ welcomed the members to the first meeting of the DEB and CSB gave an overview of the remit of the DEB as described in the Grant Agreement and Description of Action.

TJ reviewed the membership of the DEB and it was **agreed** that TJ would invite Torben Hansen to join the DEB.

CSB indicated that the DEB is requested to meet every 6 months during the project to undertake its activities.

**2. Review of tasks and deliverables for dissemination and exploitation**

CSB gave an overview of the work package tasks associated with dissemination, exploitation and training. He indicated that the DEB needed to consider intellectual property arising from the project and how it may be exploited by the consortium. CSB identified the tasks within the work package that would need to be considered. This included:

- Exploitation agreement between the partners
- Exploitation strategy development
- Dissemination strategy
- Training workshop and requirements
- Post-project opportunities.

CSB indicated that it was important to have a framework for how exploitation would take place by the partners and their interests in exploiting the results of the project. Furthermore, the dissemination strategy should consider which journals and conferences the results of the project should seek to target. The role of the DEB is to ensure that we record all dissemination

activities and also that dissemination activities recognise the support provided by the EU H2020 programme.

Following discussion, it was **agreed** that there would be a presentation on these aspects at the consortium meeting in Barcelona so that all partners were reminded of their roles and obligations with respect to dissemination and exploitation.

It was noted by ER that some partners would wish to use their own organisation technology transfer offices for the protection and exploitation of IP. CSB indicated that this was not a problem but that the DEB should be informed of new foreground IP so that it can record the information and develop the project IP portfolio.

CSB proposed to develop template documents for dissemination and exploitation that could be used by partners for reporting to the DEB.

It was noted that the TIGER resource remained the most significant exploitation opportunity and that any consideration around exploitation planning should focus particularly on this aspect of the project.

### 3. Review of deliverable 7.1

CSB confirmed that deliverable 7.1 was completed and submitted to the EU at the end of December 2016. He indicated that the next version of the dissemination and exploitation plan was required by M24 of the project (December 2017). CSB confirmed that he would work with partners on the development of the next version of the deliverable in due course.

### 4. Dissemination and Exploitation strategy

These aspects had formed part of the discussion under agenda item 2 and 3 and no further points were raised.

### 5. Links with other projects (Rhapsody)

MC gave a summary overview of the possible overlap between the T2DSYSTEMS and Rhapsody projects. She indicated that there had been discussion between the two consortia, that shared some common partners, as to how to maximise the outcome of their research activities through collaboration.

It was identified that there was one particular area of interest relating to the exposure studies using high glucose, fatty acids and pharmaceutical agents that could be a collaborative activity between the projects.

MC indicated that it had been suggested that data sharing might be a very positive aspect of any collaboration. However, the mechanism and when sharing might take place would need to be agreed.

AG indicated that while the contractual aspects were important, it would be necessary to consider the scientific aspects of collaboration as well.

MC agreed with the point and suggested that perhaps a teleconference be established between the common partners of T2DSYSTEMS and Rhapsody to discuss the opportunity. This

TC should take place before the T2DSysytems meeting in April so that a plan could be presented for discussion and agreement with the wider consortium.

It was **agreed** that this seemed an appropriate route and that the matter should be referred to the SSDT for confirmation.

DT indicated that Rhapsody being an IMI project might have difficulties in data sharing due to the presence of large pharma companies. He also asked what the main differences were between the two projects to ensure that they retained their identities if there was collaboration.

CSB also indicated that if parts of the scientific programme were going to be undertaken in collaboration then it would be beneficial to ensure that now specific tasks were transferred. This would ensure that both projects were able to utilise their funds to support the objectives of each project individually even if data sharing was agreed.

MC confirmed that discussion with the data generating partners would be a good idea. CSB indicated that the data access committee had been established and that this included:

- Jorge Ferrer (chair)
- Eizirik (ULB)
- Marchetti (UPI)
- McCarthy (UOXF)
- Groop (ULUND)
- Torrents (BSC)
- Cnop (ULB)

It also included Professor Flemming Pociot, and Dr Marie Nierras.

It was **agreed** to discuss this at the SSDT meeting.

## 6. AOB

It was noted that the DEB should meeting every 6 months and that the next meeting would be arranged for September 2017.